



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_7254
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Dietary Levels of Rice Husk Supplemented with or without Commercial Enzymes on Performance, Nutrient Retention and Gastro-intestinal Tract of Broilers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-Line 61: proximate analysis using method of AOAC [8] instead of proximate analysis using method of [8] -Line 64: the method described by Cullison [9] instead of the method described by [9] -Tables 2b, 3b and 4b: add SEM and P value in a new columns -Check the subscripts in table 4b -Add foot note and a line under table 2b and 3b	The manuscript has been corrected. Corrected Corrected Corrected Corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		Not applicable
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The subject matter of this paper is within the scope of the journal but there are relatively few recent references (>2008). The authors should revise their manuscript in the light of the most recent literature if possible. In addition old references (>5 – 10 years) should only used where absolutely necessary and if possible replaced either by reviews or more recent publications	Noted